18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4		<b>E-FILED on</b> <u>08/31/09</u>	
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION,	No. C-05-01668 RMW	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.	AMENDED JUDGMENT	
15			
16	VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (USA),		
17	Defendants.		

Pursuant to: (1) the court's order of September 23, 2008 granting the motion of VIA Technologies, Inc. and VIA Technologies, Inc. (USA) (collectively "VIA") for summary judgment of non-infringement and denying Computer Cache Coherency Corporation's cross-motion for summary judgment of infringement; (2) the court's order of June 18, 2009 denying Computer Cache Coherency Corporation's motion for reconsideration; and (3) the stipulation of the parties dated July 29, 2009 whereby VIA dismissed its counterclaims for declaratory judgment of invalidity and unenforceability without prejudice,

AMENDED JUDGMENT No. C-05-01668 RMW

## Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 306 Filed 08/31/09 Page 2 of 3

1	
2	7
3	C
4	
5	_
6	L
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in favor VIA Technologies, Inc. and
VIA Technologies, Inc. (USA) and against Computer Cache Coherency Corporation and that
Computer Cache Coherency Corporation take nothing by way of its complaint.

DATED: 08/31/09 RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

## Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 306 Filed 08/31/09 Page 3 of 3

	1	Notice of this document ha	s been electronically sent to:	
	2	Counsel for Plaintiff:		
	3	Roderick G. Dorman Lawrence M. Hadley	dormanr@hbdlawyers.com hadleyl@hbdlawyers.com	
	4	Omer Salik	saliko@hbdlawyers.com	
	5	Counsel for Defendants:		
	6	Jennifer A. Ochs	jochs@wsgr.com	
	7	Jennier II. Gens	Joens & Wightenin	
	8	Counsel are responsible for a	distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not	
	9	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.		
	10			
For the Northern District of California	11	<b>Dated:</b> 08/31/09	JAS Chambers of Judge Whyte	
Calif	12		Chambers of Judge Whyte	
t of (	13			
istric	14			
im D	15			
orthe	16			
he N	17			
For t	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	20			
		AMENDED JUDGMENT No. C-05-01668 RMW	3	

United States District Court or the Northern District of California